

Issues to Explore

**How can the strategic partnership have an impact at a locality level?
(See draft Structure / model)**

Notes / Comments

- Will it ensure no duplication of effort?
- Many structures not included especially in Tier 2. Shadow Health and well being board – does it have confidence of all agencies?
- Clarity at strategic level of the operational tasks at a local level.
- Communication with LSP's
- Using existing groups i.e. Head Teachers
- TAG to be reviewed for specific families (Support panel)
- Child Poverty groups are evolving locally
- Comes from setting priorities right.

Should we have locality Children & young People Partnerships (LCP's)?

If so;

How many?

Who would attend?

How often would they meet?

What would be their purpose?

How would we performance manage these?

Notes / Comments

- To be determined based on the need. How do these marry up with other partnerships and what value does it have? (Reconstitute something if needed).
- Discussion around agreement that a local structure is required to interpret strategic decisions at a local level – as priorities will be different in different areas. It is, however, difficult for different organisations to have representations as for example YOS not structured in same way & only have 4 managers!
- No- should build on LSP's work e.g. East Lindsey
- Specific representatives from CYPSP on LSP's to advocate for C&YP priorities
- No
- Not unless it has some resources to do something, otherwise it becomes a talking shop.
- Is this operational/overview of locality
- What about agreement on Data Sharing?

- Yes. Should be formalised and some format across the county.
- If it is not operational are you just repeating the CYPSP meeting?
- How does this fit in with anti social behaviour – Families First?

Visual identity / Branding

Notes / Comments

- Is it necessary? Would it be for the children to determine?
- Brilliant Lincolnshire is fantastic
- Yes, should have one, but not 'Brilliant Lincolnshire'
- Visionary, specific, aspiration like Olympics branding legacy.
- A cohesive group is the first step.
- We say No to Brilliant Lincolnshire!
- Not clear where the 5 outcomes have gone
- Buttons (5 Outcomes) were more understandable by our audience – CYPV
- Want an identity that reflects the children's journey
- Who is it for?
- Who needs to know?
- Plan is important- not necessarily CYPSP
- Should be the 'ronseal' approach – If its strategic who should be aware – Should the plan be the branding identity?

Has the focus changed for local partnerships to cost savings, shared services and efficiencies?

Notes / Comments

- Transition? Where will responsibility of shadow H & W/B Board lie?
- Most definitely!
- Yes
- Which District Council's have LSP's/LCP's etc – more info would be useful.
- This is the context we should work in.
- Not necessarily, as focus for children agenda is still important. Its how we get more resources and share these resources.
- How do we carve it up & spend it?
- Discretionary spend-if you've got it, what can an organisation do without funding/Awareness.
-

Does the CYPSP have the right membership and governance arrangements to ensure that it is fit for purpose?

Notes / Comments

- What is the purpose of CYPSP?
- Leaders & CX group buy in.
- High level buy in to ensure things happen throughout the organisation
- Not at present
- Broader representation required – rolling seats?
- Opportunities to contribute if not present at meetings.
- Strengthen Health reps – lead GP/ Community/United/ PCT
- District Councils
- FE/WBL – 14-19 – CfBT?
- Schools
- Commitment from Elected Members needed
- Should have a broad and diverse membership, but do they / would they engage – is it the right level – 30 plus is too much.

Is our website good quality, up-to-date and explain how local partnerships work, and how they fit together?

Notes / Comments

- No
- Good
- Not up to date
- Forums
- Needs more on partnership work & less on County Council.
- Needs better links with partners
- Better use of FSD as it has potential but needs review, better access
- Which website? – should be more visual

Does it explain governance and accountability arrangements?

Notes / Comments

- Easily accessible – does not appear to be up to date though 2009!
- Not clearly & will need updating – but for who?
- No, it needs to be appropriate language/non jargon

Can we make our partnership more visible to the public, communicating how they will play a role in strengthening civil society for the benefit of Lincolnshire?

Notes / Comments

- See previous workshop sheet re: Community Engagement/ asset mapping etc
- Update branding
- Social marketing – Twitter/facebook
- Celebrating success and changes

- Do we need to make people aware that we are making best use of resources but should our focus be on communicating the plan to those that need to know?
- How much do they need to know?